



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,514	01/26/2001	Oscar A. Mondragon	2585-0113P	6115
2292	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	OSMAN,	OSMAN, RAMY M	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2157	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/769,514	MONDRAGON, OSCAR A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ramy M Osman	2157			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> .					
2a)□	,_					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
1	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachmer	nt(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	Trademark Office	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2			

Application/Control Number: 09/769,514 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed on 9/07/2004. Claims 1-8 are pending.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the unclear phrase in line 3, "where said user is and sponsors". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Steps a), b), c), d), and g) all state the limitation "providing said user", but do not specify who is doing the providing in each step. Steps e) and f) state the limitation "receiving information from" but fail to specify who is receiving in each step.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the server" in step (b). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is not clear whether what is meant is the 'service provider server' or another different server.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,514

Art Unit: 2157

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alles et al (US Patent No 6,425,010).
- 8. In reference to claim 1, Alles teaches a method for advertising through the Internet (Abstract), comprising:
- (a) providing a user with access to a service provider server through the internet (column 1 lines 27-35);
- (b) providing said user a menu of internet applications available on the server (column 1 lines 33-37, column 1 line 65 column 2 line 5 and column 2 lines 13-25 & 55-65, Alles discloses a set of applications on a server that provides services of a sponsor);
- (c) providing said user a menu of free services that are available on the server (column 1 lines 31-33, Alles discloses free access to the Internet via an ISP server);
- (d) providing said user a menu of sponsors of the said free services on the server (column 2 lines 5-25, Alles discloses providing sponsor information to the user);

Application/Control Number: 09/769,514

Art Unit: 2157

Page 4

- (e) receiving information from the user on a selected internet application that said user desires to use (column 1 lines 27-41 and column 1 line 65 column 2 line 5, Alles discloses user inherently selecting one of the various services provided to it by the sponsor);
- (f) receiving information from the user on a selected sponsor that offers a free service that is available on the server (column 2 lines 5-35); and
- (g) providing said user with access to use said free service of said selected sponsor (column 1 lines 27-41);

Alles fails to explicitly teach the limitation of "wherein said internet application selected by said user takes on a look and feel of the selected sponsor of the free service".

However, the feature of Internet applications taking on a look and feel of a selected sponsor of the free service is old and well-known in the art as admitted by the applicant in the "Background" section on page 1 of the disclosure, paragraph [0002] lines 6-10. The reason for sponsors to provide for free applications and services is to generate customers visiting both the service providers and the sponsors pages.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alles by making the Internet applications taking on a look and feel of a selected sponsor of the free service as taught by the applicants admitted prior art. One would be motivated to do so to generate customers visiting both the service providers and the sponsors pages.

9. In reference to claim 2, Alles teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said free service is a predetermined amount of data storage space in a hard disk of the service provider. "Official notice" is taken that Internet service providers like Yahoo, Hotmail, Netscape, and others, inherently provide storage in the form of email, etc. Therefore it would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 2157

one of ordinary skill in the art to make the ISP of Alles also provide storage because that is a service that all ISP's provide.

- 10. In reference to claim 3, Alles teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said free service is access time to the internet (column 1 lines 31-33).
- 11. In reference to claim 4, Alles teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said application includes at least one application selected from the group comprising e-mail, calendars, instant messaging and chat rooms. "Official notice" is taken that applications like e-mail, calendars, instant messaging and chat rooms are well known in the art and are commonly offered by ISP's like Yahoo, Hotmail, Netscape, and others. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the ISP of Alles also provide e-mail, calendars, instant messaging and chat rooms because that is a service that all ISP's provide.
- 12. Claims 5-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alles et al (US Patent No 6,425,010) in view of Urera (US Patent Publication No 2002/0078059).
- 13. In reference to claims 5 and 6, Alles teaches the method of claim 1. Alles fails to explicitly teach wherein step (e) further includes receiving personal data from said user in addition to said selection information; and wherein the personal information provided by said user includes the name, address, country and e-mail address of said user. However, Urera teaches providing Internet access and tracking user access. Urera discloses receiving personal data from said user in addition to said selection information; and wherein the personal information provided by said user includes the name, address, country and e-mail address of said user (paragraphs [0030 and 0035]).

Art Unit: 2157

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alles by receiving personal data from said user in addition to said selection information; and wherein the personal information provided by said user includes the name, address, country and e-mail address of said user as per the teachings of Urera so that user Internet access can be tracked.

14. In reference to claim 7, Alles in view of Urera teach the method of claim 5. Alles fails to teach wherein the personal information provided by the user is maintained in a database. However, Urera teaches providing Internet access and tracking user access. Urera discloses wherein the personal information provided by the user is maintained in a database (paragraphs [0007,0021 and 0035]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alles by making the personal information provided by the user is maintained in a database as per the teachings of Urera so that user Internet access can be tracked and stored in a database.

15. In reference to claim 8, Alles in view of Urera teach the method of claim 7. Alles fails to explicitly teach wherein said personal information provided by said user is used for conducting surveys, for conducting segmented marketing or for sending banners to selected users. However, Urera teaches providing Internet access and tracking user access. Urera discloses wherein said personal information provided by said user is used for conducting surveys, for conducting segmented marketing or for sending banners to selected users (Abstract and paragraphs [0035 and 0048]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alles by making said personal information provided by said user is used for conducting surveys, for conducting

Art Unit: 2157

segmented marketing or for sending banners to selected users as per the teachings of Urera so that user Internet access can be tracked for advertising purposes.

Response to Amendment

16. Examiner acknowledges amendment filed on 9/7/2004. No claims were amended, cancelled or added.

Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramy M Osman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,514

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8

RMO March 2, 2005

> SALEH NAJJAR PRIMARY EXAMINER